No. ID/FD/49-83/52035.— Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Md. Musta Keem and the management of M/s (i) Saz International Pvt. Ltd, Plot No. 46—49, DLF, Industrial Area, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad, consitiuted,—vide Covernment notification No. 11495- G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958, read with notification No. 5414-3 Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Md. Musta Keem was justified and in order?

If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/FD/49-83/52042.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Siraj Ahmed and the management of M/s Saz International Pvt. Ltd. Plot No. 46—49, DLF Industrial Area, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad, constituted,—vide Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958, read with notification No. 5414-3Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the disputes as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Siraj Ahmed was justified and in order?

If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/FD/49-83/52049.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Md. Ibraheem and the management of M/s Saz Internation 1 Pvt. Ltd., Plot No. 46—49, DLF, Industrial Area, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad, constituted,—vide Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958, read with notification No. 5414-3Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Md. Jbraheem was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/FD/49-83/52056.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an Industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Baknidi and the management of M/s Saz International Pvt. Ltd., Plot No. 46-49, DLF, Industrial Area, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication:

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour, Court, Faridabad, constituted,—vide Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958 read with Notification No. 5414-3Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and workman for/adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Baknidi was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?